

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Shunpei Yamazaki	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,653		10/10/2000		07977/084002/US3151D1	5915	
20985	7590	02/04/2002				
FISH & RI		*	EXAMINER			
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500				TON, MINI	TON, MINH TOAN T	
SAN DIEGO), CA 92	122		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2871		
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			NV			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/686,653	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Toan Ton	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRINE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amendm	is application. A proper rep ent which places the applic	ation in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	r b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the da e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MON ne date on which the petition ur d of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory perio ffice later than three months af	I the mailing date of the final rejec THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION Inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appointing amount of the fee. The appoint for reply originally set in the fina	tion. See MPEP propriate extension propriate extension al Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	: below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	l by materially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected clain	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		een considered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 9-13, 15-19, 21-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	is a) approved or b) □	disapproved by the Exam	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive and therefore the final rejection is maintained. The filing of Terminal Disclaminer is acknowledged.

TOANTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER